

Alternations between regular and athematic participles in Brazilian Portuguese

Suzana Fong (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

1. Introduction. In BP, there are three constructions where a participle can be used:

- (1) a. Essa camisa foi **pass-a-d-a** pelo João.
 this shirt was iron-THV-PTC-AGR by.the João
 ‘This shirt was ironed by João.’ *Verbal passive*
- b. Essa camisa está **pass-a-da**.
 this shirt is iron-THV-PTC-AGR
 ‘This shirt is ironed.’ *Adjectival passive*
- c. [**Pass-a-da** a última camisa], o João pôde descansar.
 [iron-THV-PTC-AGR the last shirt] the João could rest.INF
 ‘Having ironed the last shirt (Lit.: Ironed the last shirt), João could go rest’. *Absolute participle*

In its regular form, the participle is exponed by /-d/; it is preceded by a theme vowel and followed by agreement morphology. Besides a regular participial form (leftmost column in (2)), some verbs allow for a form that lacks /-d/ and a THV (3rd column) – the same is true in other Romance languages, e.g. Italian (Calabrese:2015). These are called *athematic participles* (Lobato:1999; Chagas:2011; Scher *et al.*:2013; 2014; Nevins *et al.*:2014, *i.a.*).

- (2) a. *ganh-a-d-o/a(s)* ‘win-THV-PTC-AGR’ *ganh-o/a(s)* ‘win-AGR’
 b. *limp-a-d-o/a(s)* ‘clean-THV-PTC-AGR’ *limp-o/a(s)* ‘clean-AGR’

The participial constructions in (1) differ in which participle(s) they may take. Verbal passives allows for either participial form (3a), adjectival passives only allow for the athematic participle (3b), and absolute participles only allow for the regular participle (3c).

- (3) a. O armário foi **limp-a-d-o** / **limp-o** (pelo João).
 the wardrobe was clean-THV-PTC-AGR / clean-AGR (by.the João)
- b. O armário permanece/está ***limp-a-d-o** / **limp-o**.
 the wardrobe remains/is ***clean-THV-PTC-AGR** / clean-AGR
- c. [**Limp-a-d-o** / ***Limp-o** o armário], o João foi descansar.
 [clean-THV-PTC-AGR / ***clean-AGR** the wardrobe] the João went rest.INF

While it is not unusual for there to be more than one participle available (*cf.* Embick:2004, 2003), each form is usually restricted to a particular environment. Our goal is to explain why *either* participle is possible in verbal passives (3a) in BP, an alternation that, to the best of our knowledge, has not been addressed. (3b) and (3c) are boundary cases that the analysis must also account for.

2. Three classes of participles. Only verbal passives can license a *by*-phrase (3a); adjectival passives (4a) and absolute participles (4b) cannot.

- (4) a. O armário permanece/está limp-o (***pelo João**).
 the wardrobe remains/is clean-AGR (***by.the João**)
- b. [Limp-a-d-as as gavetas (***pelo João**)], ...
 [clean-THV-PTC-AGR the drawers (***by.the João**)] ...

Absolute participles (5c) are still different from adjectival passives (5b) (and similar to verbal passives (5a)) in that they can be modified by manner adverbs.

- (5) a. O armário foi limp(-a-d)-o **cuidadosamente** / **rapidamente**.
 the wardrobe was clean-PTC-AGR carefully / quickly

(11a), if the object occupies a lower position (\mathbf{DP}_{obj}), it is this DP that supplies a $[-v]$ feature to PTC. The result is an athematic participle. If the object occupies the higher position, the closest element that can supply a $[\pm v]$ feature to PTC is v . In that case, PTC is going to be exponed as $/-d/$ and a THV will be inserted. The optionality between athematic and regular participles in verbal passives is the reflex of the two structures proposed for them.